arXiv:math/0412372v1 [math.NT] 19 Dec 2004

CURVES OF GENUS 2, CONTINUED FRACTIONS, AND SOMOS SEQUENCES

ALFRED J. VAN DER POORTEN

Abstract. We detail the continued fraction expansion of the square root of monic sextic polynomials. We note in passing that each line of the expansion corresponds to addition of the divisor at infinity, and interpret the data yielded by the general expansion. In particular we obtain an associated Somos sequence defined by a three-term recurrence relation of gap 6.

In the present note I study the continued fraction expansion of the square root of a sextic polynomial, inter alia obtaining integer sequences generated by recursions

$$A_{h-3}A_{h+3} = aA_{h-2}A_{h+2} + bA_h^2\,.$$

Specifically, see §4 at page 4 for the case $(T_h) = (\ldots, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$, where I illustrate how the continued fraction expansion data readily allows one to recover the genus 2 curve $\mathcal{C} : Y^2 = (X^3 - 4X + 1)^2 + 4(X - 2)$ giving rise to the sequence.

1. Some Brief Reminders

A reminder exposition on continued fractions in quadratic function fields appears as §4 of [6]. However, the naïve reader needs little more than that a continued fraction expansion of a quadratic irrational integer ω is a two-sided sequence of lines, h in $\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\frac{\omega + P_h}{Q_h} = a_h - \frac{\overline{\omega} + P_{h+1}}{Q_h}\,; \quad \text{in brief } \omega_h = a_h - \overline{\rho}_h\,,$$

with $(\omega + P_{h+1})(\overline{\omega} + P_{h+1}) = -Q_hQ_{h+1}$ defining the integer sequences (P_h) and (Q_h). Necessarily, one must have, say, Q_0 divides $(\omega + P_0)(\overline{\omega} + P_0)$ in which case the integrality of the sequence (a_h) of *partial quotients* guarantees that always Q_h divides $(\omega + P_h)(\overline{\omega} + P_h)$. If the *partial quotient* a_h is always chosen as the integer part of ω_h then ω_0 reduced implies that all the ω_h and ρ_h are reduced; and always a_h also is the integer part of ρ_h. Then conjugation retrieves the left hand half of the expansion of ω_0 from that of ρ_0. In the function field case, one reads 'polynomial' for 'integer'.

2. Continued Fraction Expansion of the Square Root of a Sextic

We suppose the base field $\mathbb{F}$ is not of characteristic 2 or 3 because those cases requires changes throughout the exposition; indeed, nontrivial changes in the case

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11A55, 11B83; Secondary: 11G30, 14H05.

Key words and phrases. continued fraction expansion, function field of characteristic zero, hyperelliptic curve, Somos sequence.

The author's only support was a grant from the Australian Research Council.

characteristic 2. We study the continued fraction expansion of the squre root of a sextic polynomial $D \in \mathbb{F}[X]$. Set

$$\mathcal{C} : Y^2 = D(X) := (X^3 + fX + g)^2 + 4u(X^2 - vX + w), \tag{1}$$

and for brevity write $A = X^3+fX+g$ and $R = u(X^2-vX+w)$. Set $Z = \frac{1}{2}(Y+A)$ and notice that $Z^2 - AZ - R = 0$. The other root of this equation is $\overline{Z}$.

Suppose that $(X^2 - v_0X + w_0)$ divides the norm

$$Z_0\overline{Z}_0 = -R + d_0(X + e_0)\big(A + +d_0(X + e_0)\big)\,,$$

and that Z_0 has been so chosen that all* its partial quotients are of degree 1. Such a choice is 'generic' if the base field is infinite.

For $h = 0,\ 1,\ 2,\ \ldots$ we denote the complete quotients of Z_0 by

$$Z_h = \big(Z + d_h(X + e_h)\big)/u_h(X^2 - v_hX + w_h)\,, \tag{2}$$

noting that the Z_h all are reduced, namely $\deg Z_h > 0$ but $\deg \overline{Z}_h < 0$. The upshot is that the h-th line of the continued fraction expansion of Z_0 is

$$\frac{Z + d_h(X + e_h)}{u_h(X^2 - v_hX + w_h)} = \frac{X + v_h}{u_h} - \frac{\overline{Z} + d_{h+1}(X + e_{h+1})}{u_h(X^2 - v_hX + w_h)}\,. \tag{3}$$

Then evident recursion formulas yield

$$f + d_h + d_{h+1} = -v_h^2 + w_h \tag{4}$$

$$g + d_he_h + d_{h+1}e_{h+1} = v_hw_h \tag{5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -u_hu_{h+1}(X^2 - v_hX + w_h)(X^2 - v_{h+1}X + w_{h+1}) \\ = \big(Z + d_{h+1}(X + e_{h+1})\big)\big(\overline{Z} + d_{h+1}(X + e_{h+1})\big). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Hence, noting that $Z\overline{Z} = -u(X^2 - vX + w)$ and $Z + \overline{Z} = A = X^3 + fX + g$, we may equate coefficients in (6) to see that

$$d_{h+1} = -u_hu_{h+1}\,. \tag{$6 : X^4$}$$

Given that, we obtain, after in each case dividing by $-u_hu_{h+1}$,

$$e_{h+1} = -v_h - v_{h+1}\,; \tag{$6 : X^3$}$$

$$(f + d_{h+1}) = v_hv_{h+1} + (w_h + w_{h+1}) + u/d_{h+1}\,; \tag{$6 : X^2$}$$

$$(f + d_{h+1})e_{h+1} + (g + d_{h+1}e_{h+1}) = -v_hw_{h+1} - v_{h+1}w_h - uv/d_{h+1}\,; \tag{$6 : X^1$}$$

$$(g + d_{h+1}e_{h+1})e_{h+1} = w_hw_{h+1} + uw/d_{h+1}\,. \tag{$6 : X^0$}$$

The $:X^2$ equation readily becomes

$$-d_h = f - w_h + v_h^2 + d_{h+1} = v_h(v_h + v_{h+1}) + w_{h+1} + u/d_{h+1}\,,$$

*At any rate, sufficiently many partial quotients so as to make the following discussion useful.

so $d_{h+1}(v_he_{h+1}-w_{h+1}) = d_hd_{h+1}+u$. With similar manipulation of the next two equations we felicitously obtain

$$d_{h+1}(v_he_{h+1}-w_{h+1}) = d_hd_{h+1}+u\,; \tag{7a}$$

$$-v_hd_{h+1}(v_he_{h+1}-w_{h+1}) = d_hd_{h+1}(e_h+e_{h+1})-uv\,; \tag{7b}$$

$$w_hd_{h+1}(v_he_{h+1}-w_{h+1}) = d_hd_{h+1}e_he_{h+1}+uw\,. \tag{7c}$$

That immediately yields

$$d_hd_{h+1}(e_h+e_{h+1}+v_h) = u(v-v_h)\,; \tag{8a}$$

$$d_hd_{h+1}(e_he_{h+1}-w_h) = -u(w-w_h)\,. \tag{8b}$$

Incidentally, by

$$-d_{h+1} = f - w_h + v_h^2 + d_h = v_h(v_{h-1}+v_h) + w_{h-1} + u/d_h\,,$$

we also discover that, mildly surprisingly,

$$d_hd_{h+1}+u = d_{h+1}(v_he_{h+1}-w_{h+1}) = d_h(v_he_h - w_{h-1}). \tag{9}$$

3. A Ridiculous Computation

It is straightforward to notice that the three final equations (6) yield

$$e_h^2(v_{h-1}v_h+w_{h-1}+w_h)+e_h(v_{h-1}w_h+v_hw_{h-1})+w_{h-1}w_h = -u(e_h^2+ve_h+w)/d_h\,.$$

Remarkably, by (9)

$$\begin{aligned}(d_{h-1}d_h+u)(d_hd_{h+1}+u) &= d_h^2(v_{h-1}e_h-w_h)(v_he_h-w_{h-1})\\ &= e_h^2v_{h-1}v_h - e_h(v_{h-1}w_{h-1}+v_hw_h)+w_{h-1}w_h\end{aligned}$$

and so, because

$$\begin{aligned}-(v_{h-1}w_{h-1}+v_hw_h) &= v_{h-1}w_h+v_hw_{h-1}-(w_{h-1}+w_h)(v_{h-1}+v_h)\\ &= v_{h-1}w_h+v_hw_{h-1}+e_h(w_{h-1}+w_h)\,,\end{aligned}$$

we obtain the surely useful identity

$$(d_{h-1}d_h+u)(d_hd_{h+1}+u) = -ud_h(e_h^2+ve_h+w)\,. \tag{10}$$

This just one of the nine such identities provided by the equations (7), and (9).

3.1. **The special case $u=0$.** Consider now the case in which R, the remainder term $u(X^2-vX+w)$, is replaced by $-v(X-w)$. In effect $u\leftarrow 0$ except that $uv\leftarrow v$, $uw\leftarrow vw$. For instance, (10) becomes

$$d_{h-1}d_hd_{h+1} = -v(e_h+w)\,, \tag{10$'$}$$

and, we'll need this, we now have

$$e_h+e_{h+1}+v_h = v/d_hd_{h+1}\,; \tag{8$'$a}$$

$$e_he_{h+1}-w_h = -vw/d_hd_{h+1}\,. \tag{8$'$b}$$

Indeed, we find that

$$d_{h-1}d_h^2d_{h+1}^2d_{h+2} = v^2(e_he_{h+1}+w(e_h+e_{h+1})+w^2) = v^2(w_h-wv_h+w^2) \tag{11}$$

and therefore that

$$\begin{aligned}&d_{h-2}d_{h-1}^3d_h^4d_{h+1}^3d_{h+2} =\\ &v^4\big(w_{h-1}w_h+w^2\big(v_{h-1}v_h+(w_{h-1}+w_h)\big)-w(v_{h-1}w_h+w_{h-1}v_h)-w^3(v_{h-1}+v_h)+w^4\big)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

This last expression is transformed by the equations (6) to become

$$v^4\big((g+d_he_h)e_h - vw/d_h + w^2(f+d_h)+ \\ + w\big((f+d_h)e_h + (g+d_he_h) + v/d_h\big) + w^3e_h + w^4\big) \\ = v^4(e_h+w)\big((g+d_he_h) + w(f+d_h) + w^3\big)\,. \tag{13}$$

Thus

$$d_{h-2}d_{h-1}^2d_h^3d_{h+1}^2d_{h+2} = -v^3\big((g+d_he_h) + w(f+d_h) + w^3\big)\,. \tag{14}$$

But wait, there's more! By (10′) we know that $-ve_h = d_{h-1}d_hd_{h+1} + vw$, so

$$d_{h-2}d_{h-1}^2d_h^3d_{h+1}^2d_{h+2} = v^2d_{h-1}d_h^2d_{h+1} - v^3(g + wf + w^3)\,. \tag{15}$$

Theorem 1. *Set* $D(X) = A^2 + 4R := (X^3 + fX + g)^2 - 4v(X - w)$ *and let* $Z = \frac{1}{2}(Y + A)$*, so* $Z^2 - AZ - R = 0$*. Denote by*

$$Z_h = \frac{Z + d_h(X + e_h)}{u_h(X^2 - v_hX + w_h)}\,,$$

$h \in \mathbb{Z}$*, the complete quotients of the continued fraction expansion of* Z_0*; here* $Q_0(X) = u_0(X^2 - v_0X + w_0)$ *must divide the norm* $d_0^2(X+e_0)^2 + d_0(X+e_0)A - R$*.*

Denote by (T_h) a sequence defined by appropriate initial values and the recursive relation

$$T_{h-1}T_{h+1} = d_hT_h^2\,. \tag{16}$$

Then

$$T_{h-3}T_{h+3} = v^2T_{h-2}T_{h+2} - v^3(g + wf + w^3)T_h^2\,. \tag{17}$$

Proof. It suffices to check that, given (16), we need only multiply (15) by T_h^2. ∎

Remark. The reader should note the evident tight analogy with the corresponding result for quartic polynomials detailed in [6]. On the other hand, the results of [6] continue to make sense even in *singular* cases, when there are partial quotients of degree greater than one. That's not quite so here: surely, $T_{k-3} = T_{k-2} = 0$ is usually not compatible with (17), suggesting that then our division by $e_k + w$ in the course of our 'ridiculous argument' may be an improper division by zero.

4. A Cute Example

The example

$$T_{h-3}T_{h+3} = T_{h-2}T_{h+2} + T_h^2\,, \tag{18}$$

with $T_0 = T_1 = T_2 = T_3 = T_4 = T_5 = 1$ is readily seen to derive from the genus 2 curve

$$\mathcal{C} : Y^2 = (X^3 - 4X + 1)^2 + 4(X - 2)\,. \tag{19}$$

To indeed see this, we first note that of course we need $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = d_4 = 1$ to produce the initial values from $T_0 = T_1 = 1$. Since, plainly, $T_{-1} = T_6 = 2$, clearly $d_0 = 2$. By the Theorem, we expect to require $v^2 = 1$ and $-v^3(g + wf + w^3) = 1$. Without loss of generality, we may take $v = -1$. From (10′) we then read off that

$$e_1 = 2 - w \quad \text{and} \quad e_2 = 1 - w\,.$$

Thus, by (4) and (5) we have

$$f+2=-v_1^2+w_1 \quad \text{and} \quad g+3-2w=v_1w_1\,.$$

But from (8′a) and (8′b) we evaluate v_1 and w_1 in terms of w as

$$3-2w+v_1=-1 \quad \text{and} \quad (2-w)(1-w)-w_1=w\,.$$

Substituting appropriately we find that $1=g+fw+w^3=6w-11$ so, as already announced, $v=-1$, $w=2$, $g=1$, and $f=-4$.

Furthermore, we have $v_1=0$ and $v_0+v_1+e_1=0$, so $v_0=0$; then $f+3=-v_0^2+w_0$ yields $w_0=-1$. Noting that $g+2e_0+e_1=0$, we find that $e_0=-1/2$. Thus the relevant continued fraction expansion commences

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_0:=\frac{Z+2X-1}{X^2-1}&=X-\frac{\overline{Z}+X}{X^2-1}\\
\frac{Z+X}{-(X^2-2)}&=-X-\frac{\overline{Z}+X-1}{-(X^2-2)}\\
\frac{Z+X-1}{X^2-X-1}&=X+1-\frac{\overline{Z}+X-1}{X^2-X-1}\\
\frac{Z+X-1}{-(X^2-2)}&=-X-\frac{\overline{Z}+X}{-(X^2-2)}\\
\frac{Z+X}{X^2-1}&=X-\frac{\overline{Z}+2X-1}{X^2-1}\\
&\dots
\end{aligned}$$

providing a useful check on our allegations and displaying an expected symmetry. Denote by M the divisor class defined by the pair of points $(\varphi,0)$ and $(\overline{\varphi},0)$ — here, φ is the golden ratio, a happenstance that will please adherents to the cult of Fibonacci — and by S the divisor class at infinity. Then the sequence $(T_h)=(\dots,2,1,1,1,1,1,1,2,3,4,8,17,50\dots)$ may be thought of as arising from the points $\dots$, $M-S$, M, $M+S$, $M+2S$, $\dots$ on the Jacobian of the curve $\mathcal{C}$ displayed at (19). Evidently, $M-S=-M$ so $2M=S$ on $\mathrm{Jac}(\mathcal{C})$.

Incidentally, this closing aside identifying the continued fraction expansion with stepwise addition on the Jacobian is gratuitous. However, concerned readers might contemplate the introduction to Cantor's paper [4] and the instructive discussion by Kristin Lauter in [5]. A central theme of the paper [1] is a generalisation of the phenomenon to Padé approximation in arbitrary algebraic function fields.

5. Comments

I consider the argument given in §3 above to be quite absurd and am ashamed to have spent a great deal of time in extracting it. Such are the costs of truly low lowbrow arguments; see [2] for heights of 'brow'. The only saving grace is my mildly ingenious use of symmetry in the argument's later stages. I do not know whether there is an appealing result of the present genre if $u\neq 0$; but see my remarks below. I should admit that I realised, but only after having successfully selected $u=0$, that Noam Elkies had suggested to me at ANTS, Sydney 2002, that an identity of the genre (17) would exist, but had in fact specified just the special case $\deg R=1$.

Mind you, with some uninteresting effort one can show (say by counting free parameters) that over an algebraic extension of the base field there is a birational

transformation which transforms the given curve to one where $\deg R = 1$. That does not truly better the present theorem.

On the other hand, a dozen years ago[†], David Cantor [4] mentions that his results lead readily to Somos sequences both in genus 1 and 2; the latter with gap 8 (see [6] for the relevant notions). The latter consequence is not obvious; however, recently, Cantor has told me a rather ingenious idea which clearly yields the result for all hyperelliptic curves $Y^2 = E(X)$, E a quintic, say with constant coefficient 1. In brief, Cantor's result is more general than mine but does not deal with all cases I handle here; nor does it produce the expected recursion formulæ of gap 6.

The most serious disappointment is that the best argument I can produce here is just a much more complex version of that of [6] for genus 1. Seemingly, a different *Ansatz*, a new view on the issues, is needed if my methods are to yield results in higher genus.

References

[1] Enrico Bombieri and Paula B. Cohen, 'Siegel's lemma, Padé approximations and Jacobians' (with an appendix by Umberto Zannier, and dedicated to Enzio De Giorgi), *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* Cl. Sci. (4) **25** (1997), 155–178.

[2] J. W. S. Cassels and E. V. Flynn, *Prolegomena to a middlebrow arithmetic of curves of genus* 2, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 230. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. xiv+219 pp.

[3] David G. Cantor, 'Computing in the Jacobian of a hyperelliptic curve', *Math. Comp.* **48**.177 (1987), 95–101.

[4] ———, 'On the analogue of the division polynomials for hyperelliptic curves', *J. für Math.* (Crelle), **447** (1994), 91–145.

[5] Kristin E. Lauter, 'The equivalence of the geometric and algebraic group laws for Jacobians of genus 2 curves', *Topics in algebraic and noncommutative geometry* (Luminy/Annapolis, MD, 2001), 165–171, *Contemp. Math.*, **324**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[6] Alfred J. van der Poorten, 'Elliptic curves and continued fractions', *J. Integer Sequences* (also 12pp; at `http://arxiv.org/math.NT/0403225`).

Centre for Number Theory Research, 1 Bimbil Place, Killara, Sydney, NSW 2071, Australia

E-mail address: `alf@math.mq.edu.au (Alf van der Poorten AM)`

[†] I have a revision of his manuscript dated November, 1992.